

Final Report
Calibration-Round-Robin
CaRo 07

Adolf Kühner AG
Dinkelbergstr. 1
CH-4127 Birsfelden
Switzerland

Tel. +41 (0)61 319 93 93
Fax. +41 (0)61 319 93 94
E-mail office @ kuhner.com
Internet www.kuhner.com

This report shall not be published or reproduced other than in full.

Conclusion

According to international standards (e.g. ISO 9000, GLP), test equipment must be calibrated at intervals by comparison with a standard or a calibrated testing apparatus.

This calibration also applies to the 20-l-apparatus and the 1-m³-vessel for the determination of P_{max} and K_{max} and the apparatus for determination of the minimum ignition energy. The test procedure is an important part of this calibration. A general check at the component level is incomplete and hence inadmissible.

Unfortunately there are neither internationally recognized reference samples nor reference equipment available for the determination of these explosion characteristics. Therefore the following calibration method has been carried out successfully:

A dust has been selected, prepared and supplied to **45** test laboratories all over the world. The mean values of the explosion indices, measured by the participating laboratories, has been calculated as reference values. The testing laboratories have been informed about the evaluation with a certificate.

This report presents the results of this calibration method and describes the evaluation procedures. It also demonstrates that with this method it was possible to discover and rectify the cause of any errors with installations producing results differing widely from the reference values.

CaRo 07 - Reference values for the Explosion Indices P_{max} and K_{max}

P_{max} (bar)	8.0 ± 10% (7.2 ... 8.8)
K_{max} (bar·m/s)	241 ± 10% (217 ... 265)

CaRo 07 - Reference values for the Minimum Ignition Energy MIE

E_s / 3	E_s	E_s • 3
0.6 mJ	1.8 mJ	5.4 mJ



Birsfelden, February 2008

Adolf Kühner AG
Christoph Cesana

Participants

For details see section "list of participants".

	Pmax, Kmax (44)		MIE (39)	
	20-1	1 m ³	MIKE	others
Austria	1		1	
Australia	2		1	
Belgium	3		2	
Canada	1		1	
Czechoslovakia	1			
Germany	7		9	1
England	5		2	2
Finland	1			
France	4		3	
Holland	1		1	
Hungary				1
India			1	
Italy	2		1	
Japan			2	
Norway	1			1
Romania	1			
Spain	1		1	
Switzerland	4		3	
U.S.A	9		6	
total:	44	0	34	5

This calibration round robin test „CaRo 07“ has been accepted world wide as the best and most reliable calibration method for this type of test equipment.

Test substance

For correct calibration the CaRo 07 test sample has been milled, homogenized and shipped in an air tight package. Therefore the sample has to be tested „as delivered“.


CaRo 07 = Niacin USP special (Nicotinic acid)

Particle size:

	d 10	d 50 = median	d 90
Sample 1	7 µm	28 µm	74 µm
Sample 2	7 µm	27 µm	77 µm
Sample 3	7 µm	27 µm	75 µm
Sample 4	7 µm	26 µm	69 µm


Explosion Indices Pmax, Kmax

Pmax = 8.0 bar ± 10% (7.2 ... 8.8) at 520 g/m³



The individual results are drawn in relation to the arithmetic mean of all results and in chronological sequence (number of certificate).

Kmax = 241 bar·m/s ± 10% (217 ... 265) at 690 g/m³



● 20-1

The individual results are drawn in relation to the arithmetic mean of all results and in chronological sequence (number of certificate).

Test procedure:

The method for determination of P_{max} , K_{max} is described in the „Manual CaRo 07“.

Evaluation:

The explosion indices P_{max} and $(dP/dt)_{max}$ are defined as the mean values of the maximum values of each series. Subsequently, the explosion index K_{max} is calculated from the mean value $(dP/dt)_{max}$.


Scatter of P_{max} and K_{max}

The maxima of each series must not deviate by more than **10%** of P_{max} resp. K_{max} . Otherwise this series must be repeated !

Calculation of the reference values:

First the mean values of all test results (45) has been calculated. In a 2nd step all results outside of the tolerance band are excluded prior to the subsequent calculation of the mean value. Due to the large number of participants the mean values did not change.

Minimum Ignition Energy MIE



The individual results are drawn in chronological sequence (number of certificate).

Test procedure:

The method for determination of the MIE is described in the „Manual CaRo 07“.

Estimation of the statistical energy (Es):

The minimum ignition energy MIE lies, by definition, between two energy values:

$$E1 < MIE < E2$$

For the purpose of comparison between different apparatus, only one MIE value (Es) instead of the energy range (E1, E2) shall be used. This single value (Es) can be estimated by use of the probability of ignition as follows (EN 13821):

Provided that for the energy E2 a minimum of 5 dust concentrations evenly distributed are tested, the position of the MIE in the E1-E2 range can be estimated. At ignition energy E2, the number of dust concentrations with ignition, is divided by the total number of dust concentrations tested.

$$E_S = 10^{\log E_2 - \frac{I[E_2] \cdot (\log E_2 - \log E_1)}{(NI + 1) \cdot [E_2] + 1}}$$

where is: $I[E_2]$ = number of tests with ignition at the energy E2.
 $(NI+1)[E_2]$ = total number of tests at the energy E2.

Criteria for conformity:

Conformity between two equipment (a, b) is given, when the Es-values differ less than a factor of 3 (EN 13821).

$$1/3 < E_s(a) / E_s(b) < 3$$

Accordingly:

Conformity in the CaRo 07 is given, when the Es-value of each equipment differ less than a factor of 3 to the mean (Es) of all equipment:

Es / 3	Es	Es • 3
0.6 mJ	1.8 mJ	5.4 mJ

List of Participants

Country	Company Laboratory	E-Mail	Pmax Kmax	MIE
Austria	AUVA Sicherheitstechnische Prüfstelle der allgemeinen Unfallversicherungsanstalt	renate.waglechner@auva.at	✓	✓
Australia	SIMTARS, Dept. Of Natural Resources & Mines	ray.davis@nrm.gld.gov.au	✓	✓
Australia	TestSafe Fire & Explosion, Australia	david.pearson@workover.nsw.gov.au	✓	
Belgium	ADINEX N.V.	filip.verplaetsen@mech.kuleuven.be	✓	✓
Belgium	Anonym		✓	✓
Belgium	D.D.ENGINEERING BVBA, Laboratory	magda.lebleu@ddeng.be	✓	
Canada	Dalhousie University,Laboratory Chemical eng.	paul.amyotte@dal.ca	✓	✓
Czechoslovakia	VVUU, a.s. vedouci scoliciho centra Ostrava Czech Rep.	mokosl@vvuu.cz	✓	
Germany	BASF Aktiengesellschaft GCT/S Sicherheitstechnik	stefan.bitterlich@basf-ag.de	✓	✓
Germany	Bayer CropScience AG Formulation Technology	Juergen.Zindell@bayercropscience.com		✓
Germany	Boehringer Ingelheim Pharma GmbH & Co. KG AVE/Sicherheits- und Materialprüfstelle	Beate.Podlech@boehringer- ingelheim.com	✓	✓
Germany	Currenta GmbH & Co. OHG, Sicherheitstechnisches Laboratorium	michael.schriewer@currenta.de	✓	✓
Germany	Henkel KgaA VTA-Sicherheitstechnik	harald.liebs@t-online.de	✓	✓
Germany	IBE-xU Institut für Sicherheitstechnik GmbH Staublabor	j.lucas@ibexu.de	✓	✓
Germany	Inburex Consulting GmbH	Martin-Gosewinkel@inburex.com	✓	✓
Country	Company Laboratory	E-Mail	Pmax Kmax	MIE

Germany	Nycomed GmbH, Sicherheitslabor	ralf.weingart@nycomed.com		✓
Germany	Wacker-Chemie GmbH Chemical Services/Chemical Safety	alfred.augsberger@wacker.com		✓
England	BRE - Global Laboratory, Dust Test	amendt@bre.co.uk	✓	✓
England	Chilworth Technology Ltd. Laboratory I.E.H.	pbremble@chilworth.co.uk	✓	✓
England	Ciba Speciality Chemicals Laboratory CSTL	philip.robinson@ciba.com	✓	✓
England	Glaxo Smith Kline, Laboratory Fire & Explosion	Miahael.I.Gilmore@gsk.com	✓	
Finland	VTT Technical Research Centre of Finland	johan.mangs@vtt.fi	✓	
France	INERIS Laboratory DRA/PROC	Pierre-Alexandre.LE-LORE@ineris.fr	✓	✓
France	LSGC-CNRS-ENSIC	Laurent.Perrin@ensic.impl-nancy.fr	✓	✓
France	Sanofi - Chimie, Laboratoire Sécurité des Procédès	marc.huser@sanofi-aventis.com	✓	✓
Holland	DSM Laboratory Resolve		✓	✓
Hungary	Chemical Works of Gedeon Richter Lt. Laboratory Safety of Chemical	gy.negyesi@richter.hu		✓
India	Ciba Research Pvt Ltd.	vijay.bhujle@ciba.com		✓
Italy	Stazione Sperimentale Combustibili Laboratory Infiammabilità	mazzei@ssc.it	✓	✓
Japan	Sumitomo Chemical Co., LTD Safety Engineering Laboratory	takeuchif@sc.sumitomo-chem.co.jp		✓
Japan	TIIS-Technology Institution of Industrial safety	nishimura@ankyoo.or.jp		✓
Norway	GexCon AS Dust Explosion Test Laboratory	cta@gexcon.com	✓	✓

Country	Company Laboratory	E-Mail	Pmax Kmax	MIE
Romania	SC D&D SAFE SRL Company	d&dsafe@comtrust.ro	✓	
Switzerland	Firmenich SA - Prozess Safety Laboratory	franco.ferregutti@wanadoo.fr	✓	
Switzerland	Hoffmann-La Roche AG, Basel Sicherheitslabor, Abt. PSUS-L	thomas.glarner@roche.com		✓
Switzerland	Lonza AG Sicherheitslabor, Visp	ivo.doenni@lonza.com	✓	
Switzerland	Schweiz. Institut zur Förderung der Sicherheit	abisel@swissi.ch	✓	✓
Spain	Anonym		✓	✓
U.S.A	BASF Corporation Laboratory Experimental Safety	chunyang.wie@basf.com	✓	✓
U.S.A	Chilworth Technology INC.	ssmith@chilworth.com	✓	
U.S.A	Ciba Speciality Chemiccals Laboratory CSTL	larry.floyd@cibasc.com	✓	✓
U.S.A	Fauske & Associates, LLC	kfauske@fauske.com	✓	✓
U.S.A	FM Global Technologies LLC	richard.jambor@fmapprovals.com	✓	
U.S.A	International Speciality Products, Process Safety	jforman@ispxorm.com	✓	✓
U.S.A	KIDDE-FENWAL Combustion Research Center	kemi.sorinmade@kidde-fenwal.com	✓	
U.S.A	Merck & Co. Inc., Prozess Safety Laboratory	michael_toth@merck.com	✓	✓